

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/854,492	WOLFF ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Michael Pyzocha	2137

All Participants:

Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Michael Pyzocha.

(3) Kevin Zilka.

(2) Christopher Revak.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 1 March 2007

Time: 1:00 PM EST

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

#### Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 23, and 67

Prior art documents discussed:

McAfee.com: Virus Information Library


#### Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

#### Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
**EMMANUEL L. MOISE**  
**SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER**

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed how the above reference applied to the claimed invention. Also discussed ammendments to overcome this prior art. Agreement was reached if no references were found to incorporate limitations of claim 67 into the independent claims as an examiner's answer..